Distinct Signatures of Electron–Phonon Coupling Observed in the Lattice Thermal Conductivity of NbSe₃ Nanowires
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ABSTRACT: The last two decades have seen tremendous progress in quantitative understanding of several major phonon scattering mechanisms (phonon-phonon, phonon-boundary, phonon-defects), as they are the determinant factors in lattice thermal transport, which is critical for the proper functioning of various electronic and energy conversion devices. However, the roles of another major scattering mechanism, electron-phonon (e-ph) interactions, remain elusive. This is largely due to the lack of solid experimental evidence for the effects of e-ph scattering in the lattice thermal conductivity for the material systems studied thus far. Here we show distinct signatures in the lattice thermal conductivity observed below the charge density wave transition temperatures in NbSe₃ nanowires, which cannot be recaptured without considering e-ph scattering. Our findings can serve as the cornerstone for quantitative understanding of the e-ph scattering effects on lattice thermal transport in many technologically important materials.
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The interaction between electrons and phonons is a fundamental process in solids, and it plays critical roles in various physical phenomena, such as superconductivity, hot carrier transport, and charge density waves. Indeed, e-ph scattering is a major mechanism of electrical resistance, and the effects of e-ph interactions on electronic properties of condensed matters have been extensively studied. However, while it is widely speculated that e-ph scattering can also affect lattice thermal conductivity, its contribution is still in debate and quantitative analyses have been largely lacking until recently.

The major challenge in understanding how e-ph interactions contribute to thermal conductivity lies in either electron-dominant or phonon-dominant thermal transport. For normal metals with typical carrier concentrations greater than 10²² cm⁻³, phonons contribute less than 10% to the total thermal conductivity at all temperatures. While for semiconductors and insulators, phonons are the predominant heat carriers, and the effect of e-ph scattering is often neglected. However, it has recently been suggested that in various technically important materials, e-ph interactions can significantly reduce lattice thermal conductivity, which are attracting attention to the quantitative analyses of the e-ph coupling effect.

Attempts have been made earlier to measure the thermal conductivity of heavily doped semiconductors and investigate the effects of e-ph coupling on lattice thermal conductivity; however, due to the inherent complexity of the phonon scattering process involving multiple scattering mechanisms, it is extremely challenging to distinguish the contribution of e-ph scattering from other scattering mechanisms, especially at elevated temperatures. As such, the analyses tend to be qualitative. More recently, using a three-pulse femtosecond photoacoustic technique, Liao et al. measured the scattering rate of the 250 GHz phonons in a Si membrane to quantify the e-ph interactions on phonon transport. However, the study is
restricted to monochromatic phonons, and it also remains unclear whether the dynamically pumped electron-hole pairs have the same phonon scattering rate as normal electrons. To avoid the challenge of experimentally extracting the effects of e-ph scattering, first-principles calculations have been conducted on metals and heavily doped semiconductors.8,12,13 Although recent predictions12,13 both pointed out the significance of e-ph scattering on lattice thermal conductivity in some metals, discrepancies do exist between calculations such as for a normal metal Al: while Wang et al.12 suggested that e-ph interactions only led to marginal difference, Jain and McLaughley13 predicted that e-ph scattering could lead to ~20% drop to the Al lattice thermal conductivity. Moreover, Liao et al.8 claimed that up to ~45% reduction of lattice thermal conductivity could be induced by e-ph scattering in heavily doped silicon, which has been overlooked in most previous studies.

To reconcile these discrepancies, experimental evidence for the contribution of e-ph interactions to lattice thermal conductivity has to be obtained. However, without a distinct signature such as the $T^{-1}$ temperature dependence for Umklapp scattering, it is extremely difficult to distinguish the effects of e-ph interactions from other factors such as defect scattering. In this Letter, we report such distinct signatures of e-ph coupling in lattice thermal conductivity observed with niobium triselenide (NbSe$_3$) nanowires, which clearly demonstrates the importance of e-ph scattering in lattice thermal conductivity.

NbSe$_3$ belongs to a class of van der Waals (vdW) materials with quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) crystal structures, where covalently bonded molecular chains are assembled together via vdW force. Owing to the restricted dimensionality, the density of free electrons in NbSe$_3$ spontaneously develops a wave-like variation when the temperature drops below a critical value ($T_{CDW}$), which is called charge density waves (CDWs).15,16 The variation of charge carrier concentration upon the onset of CDW modulates the e-ph scattering strength, resulting in unique features in the lattice thermal conductivity.

The monoclinic room-temperature structure of NbSe$_3$ is depicted in Figure 1a. NbSe$_3$ contains three types of metallic chains (Figure 1b) according to the strength of the chalcogen bonds in the unit cell. The type-III chains are responsible for the first CDW below $T_{CDW1} = 145$ K, and the type-I chains contribute to the second modulation below $T_{CDW2} = 59$ K, whereas the type-II chains remain metallic at all temperatures.17 Therefore, NbSe$_3$ does not develop a complete gap at the Fermi level, but remains partially metallic at low temperatures.

NbSe$_3$ nanowires were prepared from bulk crystals using ultrasonic cleaving, and even though the bonding energies along $b$ and $c$ directions in NbSe$_3$ are comparable, the Nb–Se bonds formed along the $c$ direction vary significantly in the bond length ($2.73–2.95$ Å), which results in an easy cleavage.18 We measured the electrical and thermal conductivities, as well as Seebeck coefficients of the NbSe$_3$ nanowires using a well-established approach.19–21 As shown in Figure 1c, a nanowire is placed between two suspended SiN membranes with integrated Pt heaters/resistance thermometers and extra electrodes. For quasi-1D nanowires prepared via ultrasonic exfoliation, the cross sections tend to be of irregular shapes, which we examine directly by cutting open the cross section using a focused ion beam (inset in Figure 1c).22

It has been shown that the hydraulic diameter ($D_h$), which is four times the reciprocal of the surface-area-to-volume ratio ($S/V$), better characterizes the classical size effects in nanowires,22,23 and here we use $D_h$ to define the nanowire size.

Figure 2a shows the measured electrical resistance ($R$) of a NbSe$_3$ nanowire with $D_h = 135$ nm. During the electrical resistance measurement, to exclude the effects from CDW sliding, we set the electric field to be much smaller than the measured depinning threshold electric field of the NbSe$_3$ nanowire with the same cross-sectional area (Section III, Supporting Information).15,24 At temperatures above 145 K, $R$ decreases as the temperature reduces, indicating a metallic behavior. However, two evident anomalies are observed at 145 and 59 K, where $R$ increases abruptly and reaches maxima at 130 and 43 K, respectively. The amplitudes of the two peaks are 11% ($T_{CDW1}$) and 30% ($T_{CDW2}$) of the room-temperature resistance, in good agreement with those reported for bulk NbSe$_3$.18

Figure 2b displays the Seebeck coefficient, which is similar to that of bulk NbSe$_3$.25 Importantly, following the parabolic energy band assumption, the Lorenz number, $L_0$, can be derived via solutions to the Boltzmann transport equation based on the measured Seebeck coefficient,26 which allows for calculation of the electron contribution to thermal conductivity using the Wiedemann–Franz (WF) law. The maximum deviation of the extracted $L$ from the degenerate limit, $L_0 = 2.44 \times 10^{-8}$ ΩK$^{-2}$, occurs at 59 K with a value of $2.4 \times 10^{-8}$ ΩK$^{-2}$, only ~1.6% lower than $L_0$. Therefore, it is reasonable to adopt $L_0$ in the WF law ($k_e/\sigma T = L_o$ where $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity) to calculate the electronic thermal conductivity.

---

**Figure 1.** Crystal structure of NbSe$_3$ and the suspended microdevice for thermal/electrical measurements. (a) Schematic diagram showing the stacking of the prisms along the $b$ axis in NbSe$_3$. (b) A projection of the crystal structure perpendicular to the $b$ axis. (c) False-color SEM micrograph showing the measurement device with a NbSe$_3$ nanowire. Pt/C was locally deposited via electron-beam-induced deposition at the contacts between the nanowire and the four electrodes to enhance the thermal/electrical contact. Silicon dioxide (200 nm thick) covers the Pt serpentine coils on both membranes. (Inset) An SEM image of the cross section of a NbSe$_3$ nanowire. Scale bars: 4 μm (main panel); 100 nm (inset).

---
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points of \( \kappa \) on set temperature of the two CDWs. More interesting maxima at 145 and 59 K, which correlates perfectly with the identified electron and phonon contributions (\( \kappa_e \) and \( \kappa_{ph} \)). The random errors that could cast doubt on the anomalous peaks is really small with a value of \( \approx 2\% \), much less than the magnitude of the anomalous peaks (Section VI, Supporting Information).

For a normal metallic nanowire, \( \kappa_e \) can be estimated by

\[
\kappa_e = \frac{1}{3} C_v v_F l_e
\]

where \( C_v \), \( v_F \), and \( l_e \) denote electron specific heat, group velocity (Fermi velocity), and mean free path (mfp), respectively. In the low-temperature regime, boundary scattering leads to a constant \( \Lambda_T \) and \( \kappa_e \) follows the temperature dependence of \( C_v \) as \( \kappa_e \propto T \). At high temperatures, e-ph interactions dominate electron scattering with \( l_e \propto 1/T \) as the temperature rises above the Debye temperature (\( \Theta_D \)), which yields a nearly constant \( \kappa_e \). A smooth transition connects these two limits. For NbSe\(_3\), \( \Theta_D \) is about 200 K (Section VIII, Supporting Information), and the obtained \( \kappa_e \) is indeed approximately constant for \( T > 145 \) K, which also explains the flatter temperature dependence of \( \kappa_e \) (\( T^{-0.51} \)) compared to \( \kappa_{ph} \) in the high-temperature regime. However, this NbSe\(_3\) nanowire demonstrates a nonmonotonic \( \kappa_e \) in the regime of the first CDW and a much larger slope in the regime of the second CDW, which can only be explained by the electron condensation during the CDW phase transitions.

An important trait of NbSe\(_3\) is that its \( \kappa_e \) and \( \kappa_{ph} \) are comparable, and as a result, distinct signatures in \( \kappa_{ph} \) appears due to e-ph coupling. Different from NbSe\(_3\), for CDW material 1T-TaS\(_2\), even though the measured \( \kappa_e \) displays a sharp drop at the onset of CDW, the reduction is fully attributed to \( \kappa_e \) with a marginal change in \( \kappa_{ph} \). Moreover, for some other CDW materials, such as K\(_{0.3}\)MoO\(_3\) and (TaSe\(_4\))\(_2\)I,\(^{30}\) \( \kappa_{ph} \) does display abnormal peaks, but they are attributed to phasons but not e-ph scattering. In this case, the CDW is driven by the conventional Peierls transition involving Fermi-surface nesting and a strong softening in the low-energy phonon spectrum (Kohn anomaly).\(^{31,35,36}\) However, recent inelastic X-ray scattering measurements on NbSe\(_3\) show no sign of softening in phonon dispersion, and the CDWs in NbSe\(_3\) have been attributed to the charge redistribution driven by a strong e-ph coupling, evidenced by a strong phonon line broadening at the location of the CDW wave vector.\(^{31}\) In this case, the phonon group velocity and lattice specific heat do not vary significantly across the CDW phase transition.\(^{32,33}\) For example, the measured Young’s modulus (\( E \)) shows a very small anomaly at 145 K, and \( \Delta E/E_0 \) where \( \Delta E \) is the difference of the measured \( E \) and the extrapolated \( E_0 \) according to the pretransition behavior, is measured to be \( \approx 0.09\% \).\(^{34}\) Moreover, no anomaly or slope change (\( \Delta E/E_0 < 0.01\% \)) is detected at 59 K.\(^{32}\) Similar results have been observed in the specific heat measurement, where the measured anomaly, defined as \( \Delta C/ C_0 \) is merely 3% and 1% at 145 and 59 K, respectively.\(^{33}\) Therefore, the unique temperature dependence of \( \kappa_{ph} \) observed in our NbSe\(_3\) nanowires must come from the change in phonon mfp, due to changes in e-ph scattering, but not lattice specific heat variations.

For NbSe\(_3\) nanowires with \( D_h \) less than the electron mfp, boundary scattering poses additional resistance to electron

---

**Figure 2c** plots the measured total thermal conductivity (\( \kappa_t \)) as well as the derived electron and phonon contributions (\( \kappa_e \) and \( \kappa_{ph} \)). An important feature in \( \kappa_t \) is that it exhibits two local maxima at 145 and 59 K, which correlates perfectly with the onset temperature of the two CDWs. More interesting observations come from \( \kappa_e \) and \( \kappa_{ph} \). At the two \( T_{CDW} \), \( \kappa_e \) displays abrupt drops, in agreement with the observed reduction in \( \kappa_e \). It has been shown that the first CDW phase transition removes roughly half of the total charge carriers (\( n = 3.8 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-3} \) at 300 K), and the second leaves only a small part of the Fermi surface ungapped with \( n = 6 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3} \).\(^{27}\)

As such, the variation in \( \kappa_e \) is a direct result of conduction electron condensation due to CDWs.

More attractively, \( \kappa_{ph} \) presents an unusual temperature dependence with two distinct signatures corresponding to the two CDWs. As shown in **Figure 2c**, \( \kappa_{ph} \) displays a trend of \( T^{-0.5} \) in the high-temperature range. However, with the onset of the first CDW, the normal concave-up curve converts into a concave-down trend, and as the temperature drops to 59 K, a sharp peak appears. The coincidence of the anomalies in \( \kappa_e \) and \( \kappa_{ph} \), at both CDW transitions indicates that the abnormal peaks in \( \kappa_{ph} \) near \( T_{CDW1} \) and \( T_{CDW2} \) are indeed due to changes in the concentration of free electrons. Importantly, \( \kappa_e \) and \( \kappa_{ph} \) demonstrate an exact opposite temperature dependence in the two CDW regimes, which strongly suggests that the abnormal trend in \( \kappa_{ph} \) is due to e-ph scattering. It is important to note that, while the error bar from the measurement uncertainty is comparable to the measured total thermal conductivity anomaly at \( T_{CDW} \) it will not affect our conclusion that the distinct signatures in lattice thermal conductivity are due to e-ph scattering. This is because the \( \approx 11\% \) uncertainty primarily arises from errors in the nanowire dimensions as a systematic error for all \( \kappa_e \), \( \kappa_{ph} \), and \( \kappa_t \). The random errors that could cast doubt on the anomalous peaks is really small with a value of \( \approx 2\% \), much less than the magnitude of the anomalous peaks (Section VI, Supporting Information).
transport (Section III, Supporting Information). This is manifested in Figure 3a, where the room-temperature electrical resistivity escalates as $D_h$ reduces. Moreover, as the CDW phase transition temperatures and the presence of abnormal peaks in $R(T)$ do not change for $D_h$ down to 30 nm, the long-range order of CDWs are preserved in the measurement size regime. As shown in Figure 3b, the higher electrical resistivity corresponds to a lower $\kappa_e$. The amplitude of $\kappa_e$ variations during CDW phase transitions also becomes significantly smaller, and as $D_h$ reduces to 36 nm, the nonmonotonic trend disappears. In addition, even though the carrier concentration remains largely unchanged as manifested by the nearly overlapped Seebeck coefficients for different size nanowires (Section III, Supporting Information), the enhanced phonon boundary scattering in smaller wires becomes more dominant and the distinct signatures in $\kappa_{ph}$ induced by CDWs become less significant, as shown in Figure 3c. The systematic and correlated changes in $\sigma$, $\kappa_e$, and $\kappa_{ph}$ versus $D_h$ allow for examination of the effects of different factors through modeling.

Figure 3d plots $\kappa_t$ for different diameter wires, and despite the anisotropic quasi-1D structure of NbSe$_3$, $\kappa_t$ still demonstrates a clear size dependence, with the temperature dependence varying from $T^{-0.03}$ to $T^{-0.27}$ for $T > 200$ K as $D_h$ increases. The room-temperature thermal conductivity versus $D_h$ is plotted in Figure 3e, which suggests that $\kappa_{ph}$ saturates at $D_h = 103$ nm, while $\kappa_t$ keeps increasing. This observation is consistent with the common understanding that electrons have a larger mfp than phonons in metals. The persistent size dependence of $\kappa_{ph}$ is somewhat unexpected given the quasi-1D nature of NbSe$_3$. However, as the calculated bonding energy shows (Table I in Figure 3f), the bonding strength along the c direction (1.679 J/m$^2$) is comparable to that along the b direction (2.962 J/m$^2$) of the wire axis (Section IX, Supporting Information). This rather strong interchain bonding leads to relatively weak phonon focusing and substantial phonon boundary scattering, which is also supported by the fact that the thermal conductivity only increases slightly with the sample length and saturates at a relatively short length of <6 $\mu$m (Section V, Supporting Information). Note that to exclude the effects from the ballistic transport of phonons in the measured thermal conductivity, we make sure that the suspended lengths of all tested samples are larger than 6 $\mu$m to ensure a meaningful comparison between wires of different hydraulic diameters.

To further understand the effects of e-ph interactions, we combine first-principles calculations with phenomenological models. The phonon dispersion of NbSe$_3$ was first calculated using the derived force constants and the phonopy package (Figure S11). We then solve for $\kappa_{ph}$ along the $b$ direction using

$$\kappa_{ph} = \frac{1}{k_b T^2 \Omega N} \sum_j (f_0 + 1) (\hbar \omega_j)^2 \tau_i$$

where $k_b$, $T$, $\Omega$, $N$, $\hbar$, $\omega_j$, and $\tau_i$ are the Boltzmann constant, temperature, volume of unit cell, number of wave vector points, reduced Plank constant, phonon frequency, and mode j-dependent phonon group velocity, respectively. $f_0$ is the

![Figure 3. Size-dependent thermal/electrical transport in NbSe$_3$ nanowires. (a, b) Measured electrical resistivity and derived electronic thermal conductivity of different size NbSe$_3$ nanowires in the temperature regime of 15—300 K. (c) Extracted lattice thermal conductivity (left axis) and the measured electrical conductivity of different size nanowires (right axis). (d) Measured total thermal conductivity. (e) Room-temperature total thermal conductivity and electronic and lattice thermal conductivity plotted as a function of hydraulic diameter. (f, top) Simulated isosurface of electron density (0.27 e/Å$^3$). (f, bottom) Table I depicting the bonding energy along different crystalline directions. Here $E_{bonding}$ is the calculated total bonding energy for each crystal plane, and $E_{\bar{S}}$ is the average bonding energy per unit area.]

**Table I. Bonding energy along different directions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D_h$ (nm)</th>
<th>$E_{bonding}$ (J/m$^2$)</th>
<th>$E_{\bar{S}}$ (J/m$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>1.704</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>54.39</td>
<td>2.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>7.311</td>
<td>3.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>147.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>147.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>147.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
equilibrium Bose–Einstein distribution, and the mode-dependent phonon relaxation time $\tau_\omega$ is considered using the Matthiessen’s rule, taking into account the boundary, Umklapp, defects, and e-ph scattering. Particularly, the e-ph scattering lifetime, $\tau_{e-ph}$, is calculated using Ziman’s formula,

$$\tau_{e-ph}^{-1} = (m_e E_D)^3/k_B T^5/2\pi \hbar^2 n^5 \chi e$$

where $m_e$ and $\rho$ are the effective electron mass and mass density, respectively, and $\chi e = \hbar \omega/ k_B T$. $E_D$ is deformation potential, which is shown to correlate with carrier density $n$ as $E_D \propto n^{2/3}$. We note that for really small wires (<10 nm diameter), it has been predicted that the e-ph scattering rate could be higher than the bulk value due to confinement; however, our wires are still in the classical size effect regime, and the measured resistivity resembles the shape of the bulk resistivity, indicating that the e-ph scattering rate does not deviate significantly from the bulk value.

The modeling results for three different wires ($D_h = 135, 61, 30$ nm) are shown in Figure 4a, and the only parameter varying from wire to wire is the boundary scattering term. For the sample with $D_h = 135$ nm, the modeled $\kappa_{ph}$ without considering e-ph scattering displays a trend of $T^{-0.8}$, exhibiting a stronger temperature dependence compared to the measured $\kappa_{ph}$ and approaches the $T^{-1}$ behavior for bulk single crystalline materials. Moreover, extensive modeling efforts show that without considering e-ph scattering, $\kappa_{ph}$ always follows the typical shape for crystalline materials with a smooth profile in the whole temperature range, no matter how we tune different scattering mechanisms. Only with the e-ph scattering term introduced, the modeled $\kappa_{ph}$ can fit the experimental data well, which strongly indicates that it is indeed the e-ph scattering that leads to the distinct signatures in $\kappa_{ph}$.

To demonstrate the uniqueness of different fitting parameters, we solve for the sensitivity coefficients ($S_\alpha$) defined as the fractional variation of $\kappa_{ph}$ with respect to each fitting parameter ($\alpha$) in different scattering terms, given by $S_\alpha = \partial[\ln(\kappa_{ph})]/\partial[\ln(\alpha)]$. Figure 4b depicts the calculated $S_\alpha$ for the boundary, Umklapp, defects, and e-ph scattering parameters as a function of temperature. Consistent with conventional understanding, the magnitude of $S_\alpha$ manifests the dominant role of boundary, defects, and Umklapp scatterings in the low, peak thermal conductivity, and high-temperature regimes. Importantly, $S_\alpha$ for the e-ph scattering term shows two anomalous jumps at the onset of the CDW phase transition temperatures, indicating its dominant role for the abnormal peaks observed in $\kappa_{ph}$. Comparison of the mode-dependent scattering rates derived from the best fitting parameters for the 135 nm NbSe$_3$ nanowire at 59 K (Figure S13) further confirms the above conclusion. We note that the extracted e-ph scattering rate is comparable to or a little higher than those for heavily doped Si and normal metals.

Moreover, Figure 4b indicates that above 150 K, the sensitivity coefficients for the e-ph scattering and defect scattering demonstrate a similar temperature dependence, which means that in this regime, one could fit the measured lattice thermal conductivity by tuning either of the two fitting parameters. This is probably the reason why even though the effects of e-ph scattering are usually neglected in modeling the lattice thermal conductivity of heavily doped semiconductors, as pointed out by Liao et al., a fairly good fitting can still be obtained through adjusting the fitting parameter for defect scattering. The distinct signatures in the lattice thermal conductivity of NbSe$_3$ nanowires disclosed here, however, clearly indicate the importance of e-ph interactions in determining the lattice thermal conductivity and help to understand its contributions quantitatively.

In summary, systematic studies on transport properties of NbSe$_3$ nanowires disclose distinct signatures of e-ph scattering on lattice thermal conductivity due to CDW phase transitions, which provides answers to long-standing, fundamental questions of whether and how e-ph interactions affect phonon transport. Given the ubiquitous nature of e-ph coupling in heavily doped semiconductors and metals, this discovery urges re-examination of thermal transport processes in microelectronic, photovoltaic, and optoelectronic devices, which could improve device design and performance.

**Methods.** NbSe$_3$ Nanowires Sample Preparation. Millimeter-long ribbon-like NbSe$_3$ crystals were grown by the chemical vapor transport (CVT) method (Section I, Supporting Information). We obtained individual nanowire samples using a liquid exfoliation method. To do this, bulk NbSe$_3$ single-crystal whiskers were immersed in reagent alcohol and sonicated for 3 h, which resulted in a suspension...
of nanowires with various cross-sectional sizes. As shown in the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image (Figure S1), NbSe₂ nanowires obtained by this method demonstrates well-aligned molecular chains of a single crystalline nature. We finally drop-casted the suspension mixture onto the surface of a piece of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and transferred individual nanowire samples to our suspended microdevice with a custom-built micromanipulator for subsequent thermal and electrical properties measurements.

**Electrical and Thermal Transport Properties Measurements.** We conducted the electrical and thermal measurements in a cryostat (Janis CCS-400/204) operated under a high vacuum (<1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar) with a dual radiation shield configuration.¹⁹,²³,⁴³ Before thermal conductivity measurements at each designated temperature point, we measured the electrical resistance of NbSe₂ nanowire samples using the four-probe method (Section III, Supporting Information).

EBID of the Pt/C composite was performed at the contacts between the nanowire and Pt electrodes using a dual-beam system (FIB/SEM, FEI Helios NanoLab G3, Figure 1b) to establish good electrical contacts and minimize the contact thermal resistance. To exclude the effects from CDW sliding, we make sure the applied electric field is much smaller than the depinning threshold electrical field,¹⁵ and the obtained I–V curve maintains a linear shape at all temperature points.

For thermal conductivity measurements, a Wheatstone bridge scheme was applied at the sensing side of the measurement device to improve measurement sensitivity.²⁰ The background thermal conductance between the suspended membranes was measured separately and subtracted from the measured thermal conductance of the sample.²³ To evaluate the thermal and electrical conductivity, we obtained the exact cross-sectional area of each tested sample by cutting open the cross section using a high ion current.²² During the thermal measurements, we also measured the Seebeck coefficient for each sample by monitoring the temperature difference of the two suspended membranes and the induced voltage difference (SR560) across the two inner electrodes (Section III, Supporting Information).
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