# Single-Event Burnout in Vertical $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Diodes with Pt/PtO<sub>x</sub> **Schottky Contacts and High-k Field-Plate Dielectrics**



on LinkedIr





<sup>1</sup>Interdisciplinary Materials Science Program, Vanderbilt University <sup>2</sup>Electrical and Computer Engineering, Iowa State University <sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Vanderbilt University <sup>4</sup>Materials Department, University of California at Santa Barbara, <sup>5</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Central Florida



### Introduction

Gallium Oxide (Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) is a wide-bandgap material (4.9 eV) with 8 MV/cm breakdown field [1]. Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> devices have higher breakdown voltage, lower on-state resistance and higher switching speed comare to other wide band gap counterparts which makes It a perfect choice for space and defence applications. However, Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Schottky barrier diodes (SBDs) are susceptible to single event burnout (SEB) as seen in [1-2]. Structural improvements have been made since to improve the radiation hardness of β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBDs [3]. Improved devices show higher breakdown voltage significantly more SEB tolerance than the previous structure evaluated in [1].



 $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Comparison

### Improved structures & SEB tolerance







Fig. 7. Reverse bias I-V curves before and after the Cf-252 stress/irradiation cycles of Fig. 5 (Var. 1) and Fig. 6 (Var. 2). The part failed during the 400 V reverse bias irradiation for both cases.

### Radiation response: Am-241

 $\Box$  5.4 MeV Am-241, LET < 1 MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/mg, Range > 10  $\mu$ m

- □ Same test method as Cf-252
- □ No degradation or damage up to electrical failure limit (in air)



- tunneling leakage.
- □ Field plate SBDs (this work) perform better than no-field plate ones.
- High-k dielectric under field plate provide better field management.
- $\Box$  TiO<sub>2</sub> further improves devices performance than  $ZrO_2$ .

Surface damage SEB damage Bonding wire Bonding spot

Fig. 4. Optical image of (a) pristine  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD and (b)-(d) Cf-252 irradiated SBD. The small ring at the top is an artefact of the mask, and does not reflect any changes in the underlying structure. The circular dark spots in (b)-(d) are the solder pad locations. Physical SEB damage (red circles) is clearly evident near the field plates in (b)-(d). Surface damage regions that are not associated with measurable device degradation or failure are also noticeable in (b)-(c).

### Radiation response: Cf-252

 $\Box$  Cf-252, LET = 10-45 MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/mg, Range = 3-10  $\mu$ m

□ SBDs were reverse-biased for 30 min without radiation, then 30 min with radiation. The sequence was repeated until the device failed with Cf-252 □ SEB threshold avg. ~400 V (Var. 2 has a slightly higher avg.)

Fig. 8. Current vs. time for Var. 1 (left) and Var. 2 (right) β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD for alpha-particle irradiation under reverse biases from 350 V to 475 V. The irradiation begins at 30 min. No significant effects on device response are observed.



Fig. 9. Reverse bias *I-V* curves for a Var. 1 and Var. 2 β-Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD before and after Am-241 alpha particle exposure up to a total fluence of  $1.2 \times 10^7$  particles/cm<sup>2</sup>. No significant changes are observed.



Fig. 5. Current vs. time for a  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD (Var. 1) during Cf-252 irradiation under 200 V to 400 V reverse bias with a 30 V or 20 V increase in magnitude each time. The irradiation begins at 30 minutes. SEB is observed at 400 V.

Fig. 6. Current vs. time for a  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> SBD (Var. 2) during Cf-252 irradiation under 200 V to 400 V reverse bias with a 30 V or 20 V increase in magnitude each time. The irradiation begins at 30 minutes. SEB is observed at 400 V.

50

60

## Conclusions

- Changes in metallization, field-plate dielectric, and edge-termination structures lead to improved reverse-breakdown characteristics and higher SEB threshold of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diodes.
- New variants are well resistant to alpha particle irradiation like the previous structure.
- Both process variants investigated in this work show improved SEB response to the devices of [1] for Cf-252 and short-range, heavy-ion irradiation.
- □ SEB damages occurring near the edge of the Schottky barrier contact making it the most vulnerable location of the device under ion irradiation

### References

[1] R. M. Cadena *et al.*, *IEEE-TNS*, vol. 70, no. 4 (2023). [2] S. Islam et al., Dev. Res. Conf. (DRC), Santa Barbara, CA, USA, June 26-28, 2023 [3] E. Farzana et al., Apl. Mat., vol. 10, p. 1111041 (2022).