Spotlight on Perceptions of Corruption in Latin America and the Caribbean

Perceptions of corruption in 2021 declined slightly from the 2018/19 peak, with great variation among countries in the Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) region.

In most countries, at least half of respondents perceive high political corruption.

Since 2016/17, LAPOP’s AmericasBarometer has measured perception of corruption among politicians in the LAC region by asking the following question: **EXC7NEW: Thinking of the politicians of [country]... how many of them do you believe are involved in corruption?** In the 2021 survey round, this question was included in 20 LAC countries. Respondents indicated their opinion from 1 to 5, where 1 means “none” and 5 means “all.” Here we recode responses into a dichotomous variable, which allows us to estimate the percentage of people that believe more than half or all politicians are corrupt. Specifically, responses were recoded to indicate perceived political corruption by combining responses “4” and “5” into one group, while placing the remaining responses “1” through “3” into a baseline category.

In most countries, over half of individuals believe that most or all politicians are corrupt. Peru has the highest perception of political corruption (88.4%), while Guyana (41.6%) and Uruguay (34.3%) have the lowest.
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Perceptions of political corruption remain relatively stable, declining slightly in 2021

The belief that most politicians are corrupt slightly increased between 2016/17 and 2018/2019 from 63.4% to 67.5%. However, in 2021, this rate decreased to 65.0%, signaling a potential reversal to 2016/17 levels.¹
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Perceptions of political corruption are highest among those with post-secondary education, younger individuals, and wealthier groups

What characteristics of individuals predict higher levels of corruption perceptions in the LAC region? Those with at least some post-secondary education (71.8%) are more likely to believe most politicians are corrupt than those with lower levels of education. Younger respondents age 26-45 report a higher belief that politicians are corrupt than older individuals.² Finally, the two highest wealth groups are more likely to believe most politicians are corrupt compared to less wealthy individuals. Gender is not a significant predictor of perceptions of political corruption.
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¹ The number of countries included in the AmericasBarometer has increased since the initial rounds. Our conclusions in over-time analyses do not change if we focus only on the countries surveyed consistently since 2016/17, or if we focus on the countries included in the 2021 round.
² The variable for place of residence (ur1new) has been omitted for this round of Spotlight Reports due to a change in survey mode for the 2021 round of the AmericasBarometer.

Interested in knowing more about the LAPOP Lab’s AmericasBarometer study? Consult the data and our reports at [www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop](http://www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop).

As a charter member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Transparency Initiative, LAPOP Lab is committed to routine disclosure of our data collection and reporting processes. More information about the AmericasBarometer sample designs can be found at [www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop/core-surveys.php](http://www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop/core-surveys.php)

The contents of this Spotlight Report are the sole responsibility of the authors and LAPOP and do not necessarily reflect the views of any supporting organization. LAPOP’s AmericasBarometer surveys are supported predominantly by USAID and Vanderbilt University. The 2021 round also had support from IADB, US National Science Foundation (NSF), and academic partners and researchers across the Americas.