



## AmericasBarometer, 2014

### *Technical Information*

<i>Country</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Sample Size</i>	<i>Weighted/Unweighted</i>	<i>Fieldwork dates</i>
Argentina	2014	1,512	Unweighted	February 28 <sup>th</sup> to March 22 <sup>nd</sup>

### ***LAPOP AmericasBarometer 2014 round of surveys***

In its effort to collect the best quality data possible and therefore produce the highest quality studies, the Latin American Public Opinion Project (LAPOP) adopted a new sample design for the AmericasBarometer 2012 round of surveys, which was also employed in 2014. The two main reasons for this decision to change the sample design from that which was used in the 2004-2010 period were: (1) updating the sample designs to reflect the population changes as revealed by recent census information, and (2) standardizing the sample sizes at the level of the municipality in order to both reduce the variance and provide a basis for using multi-level analysis drawing on municipal data. This change in the sample design makes the sample representative by municipality type<sup>1</sup>, to enable the use of the municipality as a unit of analysis for multilevel statistical analysis. Details of the revisions are found in the description of the 2012 AmericasBarometer surveys.

In 2013 LAPOP entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan for assistance in and advice on the AmericasBarometer. One of the world's leading experts in sample design methodology, Dr. Jim Lepkowski, and his graduate students, advised us throughout the process. Over the course of a

<sup>1</sup> The new sample design included three different strata of municipalities classified according to their size. Municipalities were grouped in sizes appropriate for the country. One common grouping was (1) Municipalities with less than 25,000 inhabitants, (2) Municipalities with between 25,000 and 100,000 inhabitants, (3) Municipalities with more than 100,000 inhabitants.

year we worked with Dr. Lepkowski and his team of graduate students to review each previously developed sample design and to secure their input and advice on new designs. Our colleagues at the University of Michigan, confirmed that LAPOP had already been following the best practices, within the limits of resources at our disposal, in its sample design. Our own review of the major update we carried out in 2012 sample design left us pleased in almost every respect. The effort to obtain a standard sample size per municipality/canton/parish did not have any adverse impact on intra-class correlation levels, yet has given us a basis for calculating context effects at the local level. In some particular cases, however, in the 2014 round we requested country teams to conduct specific alterations, like updating their sampling frame to take into consideration (if available) the new 2010-2011 national census information. We also asked teams to verify that the 2012 sample design continues to reflect and represent each country population structure and distribution.

Finally, after several rounds of consultations and technical discussions with experts at the ISR at the University of Michigan on how to update the 2012 samples for the 2014 round of surveys, LAPOP requested that countries to update their samples at the block level while retaining the same primary and sub-stratification units (i.e., *Estratopri, Municipalities and Census Segments*) that were included in the 2012 sample. This means that users of prior AmericasBarometer surveys can do so knowing that the designs across time remain very similar, if not identical. Countries that had new population census available and did not experience significant population shifts or changes in their population distribution were asked to replicate the 2012 sample using the latest census information available and to replace the sampling points at the block level.

With respect to *data collection*, in the 2014 round of the AmericasBarometer we expanded the use of handheld electronic devices. For the first time, we employed for data collection the “Adgys”<sup>©</sup> questionnaire app designed by our partners in Cochabamba, Bolivia. The use of electronic devices for interviews and data entry in the field reduces data entry errors, supports the use of multiple languages, and permits LAPOP to track on a daily basis the progress of the survey, down to the location of interviews (which are monitored in real time, or nearly real time, but not recorded into the public datasets in order to preserve respondents’ privacy) and the timing of the interviews. The team in Bolivia worked long hours to program the samples and questionnaires into the Adgys platform for the 20 countries in which we used this technology. In the remaining 6 countries we continued our use of PDAs and a Windows Mobile-based software application supported by our hardworking partners at the University of Costa Rica.

The remaining pages of this technical note describe the sample design of the AmericasBarometer 2014 survey in Argentina.

## ***Argentina 2014 AmericasBarometer Round***

The 2014 survey was conducted by Vanderbilt University and Universidad Torcuato Di Tella with the field work being carried out by MBC Mori Consultores. The 2014 AmericasBarometer received generous support from many sources beyond Di Tella, including USAID, UNDP, IADB, Vanderbilt University, Princeton University, Université Laval, among others.

This survey was carried out between February 28<sup>th</sup> and March 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2014 as part of the LAPOP AmericasBarometer 2014 round of surveys. The project used a national probability sample design of voting-age adults, with a total N of 1,512 people. It involved face-to-face interviews conducted in Spanish.

The sample was developed using a multi-stage probability design (with quotas at the household level) and was stratified by the six major regions of the country: Metropolitan area and province of Buenos Aires, Central, Northeastern, Northwestern, Cuyo, and Patagonia; size of municipality<sup>2</sup> and by urban and rural areas within municipalities. The municipalities with over 500,000 inhabitants are self-selected, which is the same thing as saying that they are selected with probability equal to 1. Small and medium-sized municipalities are selected within each stratum, with probability proportional to the population size (PPS) of the municipality, on a systematic basis, with a random starting point.

Table 1 shows the unweighted sample size in each of the six regions (strata) and by municipality size.

**Table 1: Sample sizes by Strata and Municipality Size in the 2014 AmericasBarometer Survey in Argentina**

<b>Strata</b>	<b>Unweighted Sample Size</b>
Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires	450
Pampeana	288
Northeast (NEA)	144
Northwest (NOA)	198
Cuyo	108
Patagonia	72
Province of Buenos Aires	252
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,512</b>

<sup>2</sup> The new sample design included three different strata of municipalities classified according to their size. Municipalities were grouped in sizes as follow: (1) Small municipalities with less than 25,000 inhabitants, (2) Medium-sized municipalities with between 25,000 and 100,000 inhabitants, (3) Large municipalities with more than 100,000 inhabitants.

<b>Size of Municipality</b>	
More than 100,000 inhabitants	558
Between 25,000 and 99,999 inhabitants	378
Between 2,000 and 24,999 inhabitants	162
Less than 2,000	414
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,512</b>

Quotas for gender and age were adopted since multiple recalls in a national sample such as this are impractical from a cost standpoint. Our experience shows that even three recalls leave the sample with a notable gender imbalance (more women than men). Rather than have to include post-hoc weights to adjust for this sample error, we resolve the problem in the field via quotas.

A total of 252 sampling points were selected: 222 in the urban areas and 30 rural ones, distributed across the 74 selected municipalities. Respondents were selected in clusters of 6 interviews in both, urban and rural areas. A total of 1,332 respondents were surveyed in urban areas and 180 in rural areas. The estimated margin of error for the survey is  $\pm 2.5\%$ .

### ***Weighting of the Argentina datasets***

The dataset contains a variable called WT which is the “country weight” variable. Since in the case of Argentina the sample is self-weighted, the value of each case = 1. The variable “WEIGHT1500” should be activated to produce representative national results. When using this dataset for cross-country comparisons, in order to give each country in the study an identical weight in the pooled sample, LAPOP reweights each country data set in the merged files so that each country has an N of 1,500. In SPSS this is done via the “weight” command.

The questionnaire used in this round and the reports can be found on the LAPOP website: [www.AmericasBarometer.org](http://www.AmericasBarometer.org).