

2022 NASHVILLE/ DAVIDSON COUNTY SURVEY

Submitted to: CSDI at Vanderbilt University

Prepared by:

Chintan Turakhia

Jennifer Su

APRIL 2022



CONTENTS

- SUMMARY 3
- SAMPLE DESIGN 3
 - Target Population..... 3
 - Address-Based Sampling (ABS) Design..... 3
- QUESTIONNAIRE AND LETTER DEVELOPMENT 5
 - Questionnaire Development..... 5
 - Letter and Postcard Design..... 5
- CONTACT PROCEDURES 5
 - Two-Release Approach 5
 - Description of Mailings..... 5
- PROGRAMMING 6
- DATA COLLECTION, PROCESSING, AND INTEGRATION 7
 - Web Data Collection 7
 - Telephone Data Collection 7
 - Targeted Outbound Dialing..... 7
 - Data Processing and Integration..... 7
- WEIGHTING AND ANALYSIS..... 8
 - Base weight..... 8
 - Post-stratification 9
 - Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Analysis..... 11
- RESPONSE RATES 12
- DELIVERABLES..... 13
- ABOUT SSRS..... 13

SUMMARY

Beginning in 2015, the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions (CSDI) at Vanderbilt University has conducted public opinion polls of Nashville/Davidson County residents to help inform community stakeholders, government officials, academics, the general public, etc. about important issues facing the Nashville Metro area. The 2022 survey marks Vanderbilt University's seventh poll of Metro Nashville.

The 2022 Nashville/Davidson County Poll obtained surveys via web and telephone with a representative sample of 1,008 adults, ages 18 or older, living in Nashville-Davidson County, Tennessee. Data collection was conducted in English from March 18 to April 16, 2022.

Implementing an Address-Based Sample (ABS) design, 1,008 respondents were reached via mail. Contacts were asked to complete the survey via web or call a toll-free number to complete the survey by phone. In total, 883 surveys were completed via web and 125 surveys were completed via phone.

Statistical results are weighted to correct known demographic discrepancies. The margin of sampling error for the complete set of weighted data is ± 4.3 percentage points.

Details on the design, execution and analysis of the survey are discussed below.

SAMPLE DESIGN

Target Population

The target population for this poll was non-institutionalized adults ages 18 or older living in Davidson County, Tennessee. SSRS used an ABS sampling design for this mixed mode study. Our sampling approach ensured that we obtained a representative sample of the target population via a full probability design.

Address-Based Sampling (ABS) Design

The sampling frame under this design was the United States Postal Service (USPS) Computerized Delivery Sequence (CDS) File. The CDS File is a computerized file that contains information on all delivery addresses serviced by the USPS. Sample was provided by SSRS sister company Marketing Systems Group (MSG). For this poll, SSRS selected a random sample of addresses in Davidson County from the CDS File. We appended a Hispanic surname flag and low education (HS grad or less) flag for possible targeted mailing effort.¹

Hard-to-reach demographic groups such as African Americans, Hispanics, and those with lower educational attainment are less likely to participate in surveys. For this poll, SSRS combined the successful stratification used for the 2021 Nashville survey with predictive models created by the SSRS Advanced Methodology Team to account for the under-representation of these groups. As was done for the 2021 Nashville Survey,

¹ No targeted mailing effort was conducted.

we implemented a stratified design that sampled Census Block Groups (CBGs) with higher concentrations of African American or Hispanic residents at a slightly higher rate to ensure adequate African American and Hispanic representation in the sample. In addition to the census-based stratification, SSRS utilized predictive models specifically designed to identify addresses with a higher probability of having an adult household member with less than a high school education (LTHS). These models were built by appending commercially available data (at the address level) to the data collected from the 2021 Nashville Study. This ensured the applicability of the models to the specific study. These models were then applied to the new ABS sample such that addresses likely to include an adult household member with less than a high school education could be sampled at a slightly higher rate to increase representativeness.

Table 1: Sample Stratification

	ABS Frame		Sample Distribution	
Modeled LTHS	12,408	3.8%	873	8.7%
High AA (50%+ AA)	46,621	14.3%	2,523	25.2%
Medium AA (30-50% AA)	52,890	16.2%	2,822	28.2%
High Hispanic (20%+ Hisp.)	28,791	8.8%	834	8.3%
Medium Hisp. (10-20% Hisp.)	29,789	9.1%	825	8.2%
Residual	156,479	47.9%	2,124	21.2%
Total	326,978	100.0%	10,001	100.0%

For the selection of ABS records, the following addresses filters were imposed:

- Inclusions:
 - Address Types: Residential (single family dwelling or multi-family dwelling), Residential with some business.
 - Primary Address Filters: City style, Rural route (a non-city style address), Highway contract route (routes located on the highway that are contracted out for delivery), Only Way to Get Mail PO Boxes (OWGM).
 - Secondary Address Filters: Throwback (address is an actual street address, but delivery is made to a customer’s PO Box), Drop points (central mail receptacle for multiple units, and limited, if any, information in the ABS frame at the unit level, such as apartment or unit numbers).
- Exclusions:
 - Address Types: Business, Business with some residential.
 - Primary Address Filters: Traditional PO Boxes (box holders who have a deliverable street address but choose to have their mail delivered to a PO Box and therefore could be sampled more than once).
 - Secondary Address Filters: Seasonal (addresses where mail is delivered only during a specific season such as a summer residence), Educational (non-dormitory housing units near the campus of an academic institution), Vacant (delivery points that have been unoccupied for 90 days or longer), No Stat (addresses not currently receiving mail delivery [for example, planned development] and are not in the CDS).

QUESTIONNAIRE AND LETTER DEVELOPMENT

Questionnaire Development

The questionnaire was developed by the Principal Investigators at the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions (CSDI) at Vanderbilt University in consultation with the SSRS project team. SSRS reviewed the questionnaire primarily to identify potential problems in the instrument that might increase respondent burden, cause respondents to refuse or terminate the interview, create problems with respondent comprehension, or pose practical challenges for mode-specific administration such as complex skip patterns.

Letter and Postcard Design

The text for the study invitation letter, reminder postcard, and reminder letter were developed by SSRS in consultation with Vanderbilt University investigators. SSRS sent Vanderbilt University the final mailing materials for approval before printing and mailing the materials to contacts.

CONTACT PROCEDURES

Two-Release Approach

To ensure all survey programs and data collection systems were operating as expected and to assess progress for a second release, SSRS implemented a two-release approach for the contact protocol. Release #1 began with a sample of 3,002 households. After some surveys had been completed and the system thoroughly tested in the live environment, an additional 6,999 pieces of sample were released in the second wave. Release #2 followed the same general contact protocol established for Wave 1.

Description of Mailings

All sampled households were first mailed an initial invitation letter. This invitation letter was one-page, single-sided, and printed in English. This letter was printed on Vanderbilt University's stationery (produced by SSRS). The text of the letter was developed in collaboration with Vanderbilt University investigators and include a short web link for the survey and a unique password to access the secure web survey. The letter also included a \$1 bill as a pre-incentive and an offer of \$10 payment after completion of the survey. Inclusion of a pre-incentive generally improves the overall study participation rate by acting as a token of appreciation and encouraging participation in the survey. The \$10 payment via an electronic gift code was disbursed via email immediately after completion of the web survey and via check on a weekly basis to respondents completing the survey via phone. To maximize response by offering invitees more than one way of completing the survey, this letter included a toll-free number that potential respondents could call to complete the survey via phone if they did not have internet access or preferred to complete the survey via phone.

Approximately 2-3 business days after mailing of the invitation letter, a reminder postcard was sent to all target households. The purpose of this mailing was simply to remind an eligible adult in the target household to look for the initial mailing and complete the survey. The postcard was an open-face (not sealed) card and did not contain the survey web link or the respondent's unique password to preserve respondent confidentiality.

Approximately two weeks after the study invitation letters were mailed, a reminder letter was mailed to all non-responders. This letter stressed the urgency of this final contact and included a toll-free number that potential respondents could call to complete the survey via phone.

All mailings were sent via USPS first-class mail.

Table 2: Contact Schedule

Date	Mailing	Count
March 14, 2022	Release #1 invitation letters mailed	3,002
March 17, 2022	Release #1 postcards mailed	3,002
March 17, 2022	Release #2 invitation letters mailed	6,999
March 21, 2022	Release #2 postcards mailed	6,999
March 28, 2022	Release #1 reminder letters mailed	2,885
March 31, 2022	Release #2 reminder letters mailed	6,750

PROGRAMMING

Prior to the field period, SSRS programmed the study into its Forsta Plus (formerly known as Confrimit) platform for web and CATI administration in English. The web program was optimized for administration via smartphone or other mobile handheld devices. Extensive checking of the program was conducted to ensure that skip patterns followed the design of the questionnaire. The web program was checked on multiple devices, including desktop computers and handheld mobile devices, and different web browsers to ensure consistent and optimized visualization across devices and web browsers. SSRS generated unique survey passwords that were assigned at the sample level and provided via mail to potential respondents. The web survey was accessed directly by respondents, using their unique passwords. This also gave them the ability to return to their survey later if they chose to suspend their interview.

DATA COLLECTION, PROCESSING, AND INTEGRATION

Web Data Collection

All contacted households were invited to take the survey online, accessing the survey using a unique password to avoid duplication of interviews by the same person.

Telephone Data Collection

Invitation letters included a toll-free number for non-internet or internet-reluctant eligible contacts to participate in the study via telephone. If an interviewer was available at the time of the call, the inbound call was routed to the interviewer for an immediate response. If an interviewer was not available at the time of the call, interested contacts were able to leave a voicemail message to request a callback for a phone interview.

Interviewers received written materials about the survey instrument and received formal training for this particular project. The written materials were provided prior to commencement of data collection and included an annotated questionnaire that contained information about the goals of the study, detailed explanations about why questions were being asked, the meaning and pronunciation of key terms, potential obstacles to be overcome in getting good answers to questions, and respondent problems that could be anticipated ahead of time, as well as strategies for addressing the potential problems.

Interviewer training was conducted before the study was launched. Interviewers were given instructions to help them maximize response rates and ensure accurate data collection.

In total, 51 phone interviews were completed from inbound requests and 74 phone surveys were completed from outbound dialing.

Targeted Outbound Dialing

Several days into the field period, SSRS began conducting targeted outbound dialing for harder-to-reach populations, specifically lower-educated adults (high school graduate or equivalent, or less education) and Hispanics. Targeted outbound dialing was limited to these groups because they are typically under-represented in general population public opinion surveys. In outbound dialing, SSRS prioritized phone effort for the households with a higher probability of having a lower-educated adult household member. Release #1 outbound dialing began March 28; Release #2 outbound dialing began March 31.

Data Processing and Integration

Prior to running cross-tabulations, data were cleaned and checked using standard procedures. This program establishes editing parameters in order to locate any errors. Minimal back-coding was done for Race other-specify text responses. No other coding was done for open-end responses.

Prior to running cross-tabulations, data from web and telephone modes were combined and thoroughly cleaned with a computer validation program written by one of SSRS's data processing programmers. This program established editing parameters in order to locate any errors, including data that did not follow skip patterns, out of range values, and errors in data field locations.

SSRS also reviewed item non-response and completion time to evaluate the data quality for each survey. Three surveys with about 20%+ non-response and one survey completing in less than three minutes were rejected and removed from the data provided to Vanderbilt University.

After quality control procedures were carried out, SSRS provided a clean, processed, fully-labeled and weighted final SPSS dataset to Vanderbilt University.

WEIGHTING AND ANALYSIS

The survey data were weighted to be representative of the adult population of Davidson County, Tennessee. A disproportionately-stratified address based sample was drawn from the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence File. The weighting was done in two stages. The first stage was the application of a base weight that corrects for different selection probabilities across sample strata and within households. The second stage of weighting balanced sample demographic distributions to known population benchmarks.

Base weight

The base weight, **BW**, is the product of a sampling weight (SAMPWT) and a household size adjustment (HHSA). The sampling weight corrects for the disproportionate sample design by adjusting the distribution of completed interviews across the five ABS strata to match the distribution of the ABS frame across strata. The sampling weight for the ABS recruits can be expressed as $ABS_SAMPWT = P_i/p_i$ where P_i is the proportion of the sample frame from in stratum i and p_i is the proportion of addresses initially sampled in stratum i .

Since we interview one adult in every household regardless of how many adults live in the household, each respondent's probability of being sampled is a function of the number of adults in the household. Specifically, in a household with A adults, a respondent is chosen with probability $1/A$. The household size adjustment is the reciprocal of the selection probability, or $HHSA = A$.²

The final base weight is the product of the sampling weight and the household size adjustment.

$$BW = SAMPWT \times HHSA$$

² We cap A at 3 to reduce the variance of the weights.

Post-stratification

In the second stage of weighting, sample demographics distributions were adjusted to match known population distributions. The sample was balanced to match Davidson County, Tennessee adult population benchmarks for sex, age, education, race/ethnicity, internet use, and sample stratum. The parameters were derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS) data.

The following table lists the dimensions used in the raking.

Table 3: Raking Dimensions

Dimension	Value Label
Gender by Age	Male, 18-24
	Female, 18-24
	Male, 25-34
	Female, 25-34
	Male, 35-44
	Female, 35-44
Sex by Education	Male, 45-54
	Female, 45-54
	Male, 55-64
	Female, 55-64
	Male, 65+
	Female, 65+
Race/Ethnicity	Male, High School Grad or less
	Male, Some College / Associate Degree
	Male, College Grad or higher
	Female, High School Grad or less
	Female, Some College / Associate Degree
	Female, College Grad or higher
Internet Use	White, not Hispanic
	Black or African American, not Hispanic
	Hispanic
	Other, not Hispanic
Sample Strata	Yes
	No
	High African American
	Medium African American
	High Hispanic
	Medium Hispanic
	Residual

Raking was accomplished using SPSSINC RAKE, an SPSS extension module that simultaneously balances the distributions of all variables using the GENLOG procedure. Missing values on the weighting variables were imputed using a Hot Deck procedure. Hot deck imputation replaces the missing values of a respondent randomly with another similar respondent without missing data. We use an SPSS macro detailed in 'Goodbye, Listwise Deletion: Presenting Hot Deck Imputation as an Easy and Effective Tool for Handling Missing Data' (Myers, 2011).

Weights were trimmed to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on the final results. The use of these weights in statistical analysis ensures that the demographic characteristics of the sample closely approximate the demographic characteristics of the target population. The following table compares weighted and unweighted distributions to population parameters.

Table 4: Population Parameters and Weighted and Unweighted Sample Distributions

Characteristic	Value Label	Parameter	Unweighted	Weighted
Gender by Age	Male, 18-24	5.1%	2.5%	4.7%
	Male, 25-34	12.5%	9.0%	13.4%
	Male, 35-44	8.8%	7.8%	9.1%
	Male, 45-54	7.6%	5.2%	8.1%
	Male, 55-64	6.9%	6.5%	3.1%
	Male, 65+	6.4%	10.9%	7.0%
	Female, 18-24	5.4%	4.3%	5.5%
	Female, 25-34	13.5%	13.5%	14.4%
	Female, 35-44	8.9%	10.0%	9.8%
	Female, 45-54	7.9%	8.6%	8.6%
	Female, 55-64	8.1%	9.2%	8.8%
Gender by Education	Female, 65+	8.9%	12.4%	7.4%
	Male, HS Grad or less	17.1%	6.3%	13.0%
	Male, Some College	12.1%	10.4%	12.4%
	Male, College+	18.1%	25.2%	20.0%
	Female, HS Grad or less	16.2%	10.1%	14.4%
	Female, Some College	14.5%	16.0%	15.9%
Race/Ethnicity	Female, College+	22.0%	31.9%	24.3%
	White, non-Hispanic	60.6%	60.2%	59.5%
	Black, non-Hispanic	25.4%	25.8%	25.6%
	Hispanic	8.0%	7.6%	8.4%
Internet Use	Other, non-Hispanic	5.9%	6.3%	6.5%
	Yes	90.6%	99.1%	96.7%
Sample Strata	No	9.4%	0.9%	3.3%
	High AA	15.5%	28.0%	17.1%
	Medium AA	18.2%	29.5%	20.1%
	High Hispanic	10.2%	8.0%	10.7%
	Medium Hispanic	9.5%	9.8%	9.6%
	Residual	46.7%	24.7%	42.5%

Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Analysis

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. SSRS calculates the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or *deff* represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from a disproportionate sample design and systematic non-response. The design effect for the total sample is 1.96.

SSRS calculates the composite design effect for a sample of size n , with each case having a weight, w , as:

$$deff = \frac{n \sum w^2}{(\sum w)^2}$$
³

The survey's margin of error is the largest 95% confidence interval for any estimated proportion based on the total sample — the one around 50%. For example, the margin of error for the total sample is ± 4.3 percentage points. This means that in 95 out of every 100 samples drawn using the same methodology, estimated proportions based on the sample will be no more than 4.3 percentage points away from their true values in the population. Margins of error for subgroups will be larger. It is important to remember that sampling fluctuations are only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Other sources, such as respondent selection bias, questionnaire wording, and reporting inaccuracy, may contribute additional error of greater or lesser magnitude.

³ Kish, L. (1992). Weighting for Unequal Pi. *Journal of Official Statistics*, Vol. 8, No.2, 1992, pp. 183-200.

RESPONSE RATES

The following table reports the disposition of all sampled ABS records that were contacted. The response rate estimates the fraction of all eligible sample that was ultimately surveyed. Response rates are computed according to American Association for Public Opinion Research standards. The response rate for the ABS sample was 16.0%.

Table 5: ABS Sample Disposition

Disposition	N
Complete (I)	1,008
Web	883
Phone	125
Eligible, non-interview (R)	77
Refusal & Break-off	77
Unknown eligibility, non-interview (UH)	8,277
Nothing ever returned	7,871
Refused, unknown if eligible	330
Over-quota	76
Not eligible, returned (IN)	639
Does not live in Davidson County, TN	35
Not age 18 or older	4
Business/Commercial	40
Undeliverable	560
Total records contacted	10,001
$e=(I+R)/(I+R+IN)$	62.9%
$RR3=I/[I+R+(e*UH)]$	16.0%

DELIVERABLES

SSRS delivered to Vanderbilt University:

- Final questionnaire instrument.
- Weighted dataset in SPSS.
- Weighted banners in PDF.
- Topline.
- A detailed methodology report.

ABOUT SSRS

SSRS is a full-service survey and market research firm managed by a core of dedicated professionals with advanced degrees in the social sciences. Service offerings include the SSRS Opinion Panel, the SSRS Opinion Panel Omnibus, and other Online Solutions, and SSRS Text Message Panel, as well as custom research programs – all driven by a central commitment to methodological rigor. The SSRS team is renowned for its multimodal approach, as well as its sophisticated and proprietary sample designs. Typical projects for the company include complex strategic, tactical, and public opinion initiatives in the U.S. and in more than 40 countries worldwide. SSRS is research, refined. Visit www.ssrs.com for more information.