

**Pledge and signature:**

**Note:** If you want your paper returned folded (i.e., score concealed), please print your name on the back.

1. (5) A quantity  $x$  is uncertain by 2.0% and  $y$  is uncertain by 3.0%. Give the % uncertainties for  $z$  in each of the following cases:

a.  $z = 5/y$

d.  $z = 5x^2/y$

b.  $z = 11x^3$

c.  $z = 1/\sqrt{7y}$

e.  $z = \sqrt{4xy}$

2. (4) An unweighted fit of 22 thermistor calibration correction values yields the results shown here. Calculate  $s_y^2$  and  $s_y$ . (Give at least four significant figures.)

$y = a + b(x-25) + c(x-25)^2$		
	Value	Error
a	0.0508611789	0.001247068
b	0.0036498518	0.0002037433
c	-0.00074572609	3.839555e-05
Chisq	0.00049905721	NA
R	0.96765516	NA

3. (3) In the triple-point experiment, we will estimate the heat of fusion of ice from the sublimation and vaporization heats using  $H_{\text{fus}} = H_{\text{sub}} - H_{\text{vap}}$ . If  $H_{\text{vap}} = 40.738 \pm 0.107 \text{ kJ/mol}$  and  $H_{\text{sub}} = 47.597 \pm 0.072 \text{ kJ/mol}$ , calculate  $H_{\text{fus}}$  and its uncertainty, and use the 10% rule to properly express these results.

4. (4) We learned last week that Arrhenius activation energies can be estimated from two values of the rate constant, at  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , using  $\ln(k_2/k_1) = E_a/R(T_1^{-1} - T_2^{-1})$ .

a. If  $k_2$  has 2.0 % uncertainty and  $k_1$  has 1.5 % uncertainty, what is the % uncertainty in their ratio?

b. Assuming  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have negligible uncertainty, use these results to give an expression for the uncertainty in  $E_a$ . (Hint: To help keep things straight, let  $y = k_2/k_1$  and  $z = \ln y$ ; then express  $E_a$  in terms of  $z$ .)